Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/080,965	CHENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>0/080965</u>	
(1) <u>EBENEZER SACKEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>BURTON RODNEY</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 January 2004</u>	Time: <u>9.35 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: Claims 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
		×
Theyah Inday		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed applicants attorney, Burton Rodney, that the Examiner's Supervisor has suggested (1) the cancellation of claim 5 because (CH₂), does not include "alkenylene, alkylene or allenyl and thus, not properly dependent on claim 1 (2) defining variables R¹ and R² in claims 9 and 14 (3) the deletion of -C- and -CH- from claim 10 and also -CH- from claim 17 for lack of antecedent basis.

The attorney, Burton Rodney, referred the Examiner to page 62 of the specification where the definition of (CH_2) include the substituents that have been suggested to be deleted. Permission was given to include the definition of R^1 and R^3 in the Examiner's amendment authorized on 01/13/04.